


UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED

410189



# Technical Report

distributed by



**Defense Technical Information Center**  
**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY**

Cameron Station • Alexandria, Virginia 22314

UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED

UNCLASSIFIED

FC

Copy No. 106

4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS  
OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE

Research and Development Technical Report USNRDL-TR-147  
NS 088-001

13 January 1956

by

W. H. Shipman  
J. R. Lai

138 164

EXILE COPY

Return to

ASTIA

DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER

Wright Building, Dayton 2, Ohio

Airline: DSC-5011

SAN FRANCISCO 24 CALIFORNIA

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

---

AD 138 164

*Reproduced  
by the*

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY  
ARLINGTON HALL STATION  
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



---

UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED  
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND  
NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON  
ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS  
OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE

Research and Development Technical Report USNRDL-TR-147  
NS 688-001

13 January 1956

by

W.H. Shipman  
J.R. Lai

Chemistry General

Technical Objective  
AW-7

Analytical and Standards Branch  
P.E. Zigman, Head

Chemical Technology Division  
E.R. Tompkins, Head

Scientific Director  
P.C. Tompkins

Commanding Officer and Director  
Captain Richard S. Mandelkorn, USN

U.S. NAVAL RADIOLOGICAL DEFENSE LABORATORY  
San Francisco 24, California

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D

ABSTRACT

Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for decay rate measurement. The exponent of the equation  $A_t = A_0 t^{-k}$  was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 2.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D

## SUMMARY

### The Problem

Since fallout is a heterogeneous mixture of individual nuclides each of which has its own decay rate, an experimental determination of the composite decay rate for a fallout sample is necessary. Certain fallout samples were retained from Operation CASTLE and their decay rates measured.

### Findings

Most of the values found for the exponent of the usual decay equation exceeded the value normally used in describing thermal-neutron fission of  $U^{238}$ .

U N C L A S S I F I E D



U N C L A S S I F I E D

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

The work reported is a by-product of gross gamma measurements of certain samples made for Project 2.5a, Operation CASTLE. It was done under Bureau of Ships Project No. NS 088-001, Subtask 11, Technical Objective SR-2, DD Form 613 of 24 May 1955, and NS 081-001, Subtask 4, Technical Objective AW-7, DD Form 613 of 6 October 1955.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D

## INTRODUCTION

During Operation CASTLE, fallout samples were collected from areas immediately adjacent to and 180 miles from the Pacific Proving Grounds. These samples were returned to this laboratory for gamma measurements in a 4-pi high-pressure ionization chamber. A number of samples were retained for periodic measurements of decay rate. This was done to evaluate the range of the exponent in the equation  $A_t = A_0 t^{-k}$ , where  $A_t$  and  $A_0$  are the radioactivities at time  $t$  and at zero time respectively. This paper presents the data and the calculated values of the exponent for fallout samples from the first four CASTLE detonations.

## EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The locations from which the samples were collected are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. One sample of thatch from the roof of a hut on the island of Rongelap was measured. The remainder of the samples received were of two types: gummed paper and polyethylene fallout collectors.<sup>1</sup>

The gummed papers were cut from their cardboard mounts and each folded to fit the bottom of a 100-ml lusteroid centrifuge tube. These tubes were then placed in the gamma ionization chamber and the decay rate measured.

The samples from the polyethylene fallout collectors were centrifuged in preweighed 100-ml lusteroid centrifuge tubes. These tubes were then placed in the gamma ionization chamber and the decay rate measured.

The samples from the polyethylene collectors were centrifuged to separate the liquid from the solid. The liquid volume was measured in a graduated cylinder, acidified with hydrochloric acid, and concentrated by evaporation to a volume of less than 75 ml. The only possible error introduced by the procedure would be the loss of any iodine that may have been present. The weight of the solid was determined on a semi-microgrammatic balance.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

TABLE 1  
Summary of Gamma Decay Data From Fallout Samples

Shot	Samples		Period of Decay After Detonation (hr)	Exponent Value, k	Remarks
	Site Designation (a)	Type and Size			
1	251.07	Solid, 56.08 g	382 to 870 870 to 1395	1.34 1.16	Location shown in Fig. 2
	Thatch from roof of hut		840 to 4873	1.4	From Rongelap Island. No slope change.
2	T <sub>4</sub> 108-2	Gummed paper	165 to 550 550 to 1077	1.8 1.3	Cut into 4 sections due to high level of activity.
	A <sub>4</sub> 49-1	Gummed paper	165 to 600 600 to 1077	1.6 1.3	Cut into 2 sections because of high level of activity.
	Q <sub>4</sub> 114-5	Gummed paper	165 to 500 500 to 1077	1.7 1.4	
	P <sub>4</sub>	Gummed paper	165 to 1077	1.5	No slope change
	Q <sub>4</sub> 124-7	Gummed paper	165 to 1077	1.4	No slope change
3	250.06	Liquid, 1665 ml	221 to 821	1.54	No slope change
	250.17	Liquid, 515 ml	221 to 821	1.44	No slope change
	250.17	Solid, 2.81 g	244 to 430 430 to 821	2.01 1.47	
	250.17 GP	Gummed paper	315 to 821	1.67	No slope change
	250.18 GP	Gummed paper	315 to 430 430 to 821	1.93 1.43	
	250.18	Liquid, 560 ml	221 to 821	1.43	No slope change
	250.18 GP (buoy)	Gummed paper	315 to 430 430 to 821	2.03 1.40	
4	YAG 39 TC	Liquid, 365 ml	77.5 to 155 155 to 430	1.1 1.4	The ship was approx. 25 mi NW of Aomoen Island (Fox), Bikini Atoll.

(a) See Figs. 1 and 2.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

The activity of the liquid and of the solid was measured separately in the 4-pi gamma high-pressure ionization chamber.<sup>2</sup> The decay was plotted on appropriate log-log paper. The ionization chamber utilized argon gas under a pressure of 600 psi; the ionization current was impressed across a high resistance. The resulting voltage was measured with a vibrating reed electrometer.<sup>2</sup> Its calibration with respect to energy and linearity agreed closely with published values.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data have been summarized in Table 1 and the exponent values,  $k$ , computed for the decay equation. The observed decay curves are plotted in Figs. 3 through 8.

It has been noted\* that these data are within a few percent of the gamma decay curves calculated from radiochemical analysis. The values of  $k$  for all the samples except two were greater than 1.2.

The range and magnitude of the exponents are greater than those reported from Operation TEAPOT.<sup>3</sup> The exponent values derived from the fallout collected at Operation TEAPOT ranged from 0.9 to 1.3 while the values from CASTLE ranged from 1.1 to 2.03. It is interesting to note that beta decay measurements made on rain water collected at Harvard University<sup>4</sup> after Operation CASTLE gave exponents of the same order of magnitude as those reported here.

Approved by:

*E. R. Tompkins*

E. R. TOMPKINS  
Head, Chemical  
Technology Division

For the Scientific Director

\* Personal communication from C. F. Miller of this laboratory.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D

REFERENCES

1. Stetson, R.L., Schuert, E.A., Perkins, W.W., Shirasawa, T.H., and Chan, H.K. Fallout (short title). U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, Operation CASTLE, Project 2.5a, Final Report WT-915, January 1956 (CLASSIFIED).
2. Jones, J.W., and Overman, R.T. The Use and Calibration of a 100% Geometry Ion Chamber. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Atomic Energy Commission Document AECD-2367, 20 March 1948.
3. Stetson, R.L., Shirasawa, T.H., Sandomire, M.M., Baum, S., and Chan, H.K. Fallout (short title). U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, Operation TEAPOT, Project 2.5.2. Final Report WT-1154, 1956 (CLASSIFIED).
4. Bell, Carlos G., Jr. Sanitary Engineering Aspects of Long-Range Fallout From Nuclear Detonations. Howard University and Atomic Energy Commission Report NYO-4654, January 1955.

U N C L A S S I F I E D

UNCLASSIFIED




Fig. 1 Sampling Locations for Shot 2, Operation CASTLE  
(the Star Marks the Point of Detonation)

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED




Fig. 2 Sampling Locations for Shot 3, Operation CASTLE

UNCLASSIFIED

U N C L A S S I F I E D




Fig. 3 Gross Decay of Sample 251.07 From Shot 1, Operation CASTLE

U N C L A S S I F I E D



U N C L A S S I F I E D




Fig. 4 Gross Decay of Thatch Sample From Shot 1, Operation CASTLE

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D




Fig. 5 Gross Decay of Gummed Paper Samples  
for Shot 2, Operation CASTLE

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D




Fig. 6 Gross Decay of Samples From  
Shot 3, Operation CASTLE

U N C L A S S I F I E D

U N C L A S S I F I E D




Fig. 7 Gross Decay of Samples From  
Shot 3, Operation CASTLE

-11-

U N C L A S S I F I E D

UNCLASSIFIED




Fig. 8 Gross Decay of Sample 4 YAG-39 TC From Shot 4, Operation CASTLE

UNCLASSIFIED

U N C L A S S I F I E D

DISTRIBUTION

COPIES

NAVY

1-9 Chief, Bureau of Ships (Code 233)  
10 Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery  
11 Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics (Code AE40)  
12 Chief, Bureau of Supplies and Accounts (Code W)  
13-14 Chief, Bureau of Yards and Docks (D-440)  
15 Chief of Naval Operations (Op-36)  
16 Commander, New York Naval Shipyard (Material Lab.)  
17-19 Director, Naval Research Laboratory (Code 2021)  
20-24 CO, Office of Naval Research, New York  
25 Office of Naval Research (Code 422)  
26 Naval Medical Research Institute  
27 CO, Naval Unit, Army Chemical Center  
28 CO, Naval Unit, CmlC Training Command  
29 CO, U.S. Naval Civil Engineering (Res. and Eval. Lab.)  
30 U.S. Naval School (CEC Officers)  
31 Commander, Naval Air Material Center, Philadelphia  
32 CO, Naval Schools Command, Treasure Island  
33 CO, Naval Damage Control Training Center, Philadelphia  
34 U.S. Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey  
35 CO, Fleet Training Center, Norfolk  
36-37 CO, Fleet Training Center, San Diego  
38 Office of Patent Counsel, Mare Island  
39 Commander Air Force, Atlantic Fleet (Code 16F)  
40 CO, Fleet Airborne Electronics Training Unit Atlantic  
41 Commandant, U.S. Marine Corps  
42 Commandant, Marine Corps Schools, Quantico (Library)  
43 Commandant, Marine Corps Schools, (Dev. Center)

ARMY

44 Chief of Engineers (ENGEB, Dhein)  
45 Chief of Engineers (ENGNB)  
46-47 Chief of Research and Development (Atomic Division)

U N C L A S S I F I E D

48 Chief of Transportation (TC Technical Committee)  
49 Chief of Ordnance (ORDTB)  
50 Chief Chemical Officer  
51 Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations  
52-53 Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2  
54 CG, Chemical Corps Res. and Dev. Command  
55 CO, Hq., Chemical Corps Materiel Command  
56-57 Aberdeen Proving Ground (Library)  
58 President, Chemical Corps Board  
59 CO, Chemical Corps Training Command (Library)  
60 CO, Chemical Corps Field Requirements Agency  
61-62 CO, Chemical Warfare Laboratories  
63 Office of Chief Signal Officer (SIGRD-8B)  
64 Director, Walter Reed Army Medical Center  
65 CG, Continental Army Command, Fort Monroe (ATDEV-1)  
66 CG, Quartermaster Res. and Dev. Command  
67 Director, Operations Research Office (Librarian)  
68 CO, Dugway Proving Ground  
69 Director, Evans Signal Laboratory (Nucleonics Section)  
70 Signal Corps Center, Fort Monmouth  
71 CG, Engineer Res. and Dev. Laboratory (Library)  
72 CO, Transportation Res. and Dev. Command, Fort Eustis  
73 Commandant, Army Aviation School, Fort Rucker  
74 President, Board No. 6, CONARC, Fort Rucker  
75 NLO, CONARC, Fort Rucker  
76 Director, Special Weapons Development, Fort Bliss  
77 CO, Frankford Arsenal  
78 CO, Ordnance Materials Research Office, Watertown  
79 CO, Watertown Arsenal  
80 Tokyo Army Hospital

A I R F O R C E

81 Directorate of Intelligence (AFOIN-3B)  
82 Commander, Air Materiel Command (MCMTM)  
83 Commander, Wright Air Development Center (WCRTY)  
84 Commander, Wright Air Development Center (WCRTN-1)  
85 Commander, Air Res. and Dev. Command (RDTDA)  
86 Director, USAF Project RAND (WEAPD)  
87 Commandant, School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph AFB  
88 USAF, SAM, Randolph Field (Brooks)  
89 CG, Strategic Air Command, Offutt AFB (IGABD)  
90 CG, Strategic Air Command (Operations Analysis Office)  
91 Commander, Special Weapons Center, Kirtland AFB  
92 Office of Surgeon General (AFCSG-15)  
93 Director, Air University Library, Maxwell AFB  
94-95 Commander, Technical Training Wing, 3415th TTG  
96 CG, Cambridge Research Center (CRHTM)  
97-98 CO, Air Weather Service - MATS, Langley AFB

U N C L A S S I F I E D

OTHER DOD ACTIVITIES

99 Chief, Armed Forces Special Weapons Project  
100 AFSWP, SWTG, Sandia Base (Library)  
101-103 AFSWP, Hq., Field Command, Sandia Base  
104 Assistant Secretary of Defense (Res. and Dev.)  
105-109 Armed Services Technical Information Agency

AEC ACTIVITIES AND OTHERS

110 Alco Products, Inc.  
111-120 Argonne National Laboratory  
121 Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission  
122-124 Atomic Energy Commission, Washington  
125-126 Atomics International  
127-128 Battelle Memorial Institute  
129-130 Bettis Plant  
131-134 Brookhaven National Laboratory  
135 Brush Beryllium Company  
136 Chicago Patent Group  
137 Columbia University (Hassialis)  
138 Combustion Engineering, Inc.  
139-140 Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corporation  
141 Convair-General Dynamics Corporation (Helms)  
142 Defense Research Member  
143 Department of Food Technology, MIT  
144 Division of Raw Materials, Casper  
145-146 Division of Raw Materials, Denver  
147 Dow Chemical Company, Pittsburg  
148 Dow Chemical Company, Rocky Flats  
149-151 duPont Company, Aiken  
152 duPont Company, Wilmington  
153-154 General Electric Company (ANPP)  
155-160 General Electric Company, Richland  
161-162 Goodyear Atomic Corporation  
163 Hawaii Marine Laboratory  
164-165 Iowa State College  
166-167 Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory  
168-169 Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, Marietta  
170-171 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory  
172-173 Mallinckrodt Chemical Works  
174 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Hardy)  
175 Mound Laboratory  
176 National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics  
177 National Bureau of Standards (Library)  
178 National Bureau of Standards (Taylor)  
179 National Lead Company, Inc., Winchester



U N C L A S S I F I E D

180 National Lead Company of Ohio  
181 New Brunswick Laboratory  
182-183 New York Operations Office  
184 Nuclear Development Corporation of America  
185 Nuclear Metals, Inc.  
186 Oak Ridge Institute of Nuclear Studies  
187-191 Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
192 Patent Branch, Washington  
193-196 Phillips Petroleum Company  
197-198 Public Health Service, Washington  
199 RAND Corporation  
200 Sandia Corporation  
201 Sylvania Electric Products, Inc.  
202 Technical Operations, Inc.  
203 Union Carbide Nuclear Company (C-31 Plant)  
204-206 Union Carbide Nuclear Company (K-25 Plant)  
207-210 United Aircraft Corporation  
211 U.S. Geological Survey, Denver  
212 U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park  
213 U.S. Geological Survey, Naval Gun Factory  
214 U.S. Geological Survey, Washington  
215 U.S. Patent Office  
216 UCLA Medical Research Laboratory  
217-218 University of California Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley  
219-220 University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore  
221 University of Rochester (Technical Report Unit)  
222 University of Utah (Stoner)  
223 Vitro Engineering Division  
224 Weil, Dr. George L.  
225 Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
226-250 Technical Information Extension, Oak Ridge

USNRDL

251-260 USNRDL, Technical Information Division

DATE ISSUED: 19 July 1957

16

U N C L A S S I F I E D

<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147.</p> <p>4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 16 p. illus.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout</p> <p>2. Gamma rays - Measurement</p> <p>I. Shipman, W.H.</p> <p>II. Lai, J.R.</p> <p>III. Title</p> <p>IV. Operation CASTLE</p> <p>V. NS 088-001</p> <p>VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147.</p> <p>4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 16 p. illus.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout</p> <p>2. Gamma rays - Measurement</p> <p>I. Shipman, W.H.</p> <p>II. Lai, J.R.</p> <p>III. Title</p> <p>IV. Operation CASTLE</p> <p>V. NS 088-001</p> <p>VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147.</p> <p>4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 16 p. illus.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout</p> <p>2. Gamma rays - Measurement</p> <p>I. Shipman, W.H.</p> <p>II. Lai, J.R.</p> <p>III. Title</p> <p>IV. Operation CASTLE</p> <p>V. NS 088-001</p> <p>VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147.</p> <p>4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 16 p. illus.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout</p> <p>2. Gamma rays - Measurement</p> <p>I. Shipman, W.H.</p> <p>II. Lai, J.R.</p> <p>III. Title</p> <p>IV. Operation CASTLE</p> <p>V. NS 088-001</p> <p>VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>

<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147. 4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 6 p. illus.</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout 2. Gamma rays - Measurement I. Shipman, W.H. II. Lai, J.R. III. Title IV. Operation CASTLE V. NS 088-001 VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147. 4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 6 p. illus.</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout 2. Gamma rays - Measurement I. Shipman, W.H. II. Lai, J.R. III. Title IV. Operation CASTLE V. NS 088-001 VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147. 4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 6 p. illus.</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout 2. Gamma rays - Measurement I. Shipman, W.H. II. Lai, J.R. III. Title IV. Operation CASTLE V. NS 088-001 VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory. USNRDL-TR-147. 4-PI GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBER DECAY MEASUREMENTS OF FALLOUT SAMPLES FROM OPERATION CASTLE, by W.H. Shipman and J.R. Lai. 13 Jan. 1956. 6 p. illus.</p> <p>Certain fallout samples from Operation CASTLE were retained for measurement. The exponent of the equation <math>A_t = A_0 t^{-k}</math> was evaluated from appropriate log-log plots and found to be in the range 1.1 to 1.03. This range of values is larger than that expected from thermal-neutron fission.</p>	<p>1. Fallout 2. Gamma rays - Measurement I. Shipman, W.H. II. Lai, J.R. III. Title IV. Operation CASTLE V. NS 088-001 VI. NS 081-001.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p>

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED